Tom’s Summary Key Words: **BE THE JOHN BOGLE OF BIG APPLICATION SOFTWARE??**

- After 40 years still only 25% of invested money in indexed mutual funds.
  - **HARD TO GRASP HOW BIG A DEAL THIS IS:**
    - In 2016 ~$224 bb came in to Vanguard and $137 bb left its competitors
    - Since 2008 ~$600 billion left actively managed funds. $960 bb entered indexed mutual funds
- Getting to 50% might be reasonable lifetime goal
  - Will always be A SELF FIRST, EGO, OPAQUE, UNACCOUNTABLE remnant pouncing on opportunity
- Gravy train declining - some still trying to milk
- **TRAINING, EDUCATION, DEVELOPMENT OF LAY INVESTOR - KEY**
- **ROOT, ROOT PROBLEM:** "You are smarter. You can beat these odds. You can beat the market. You can do big software when 70% of all projects fail"
- **NATURE OF THE SELF SERVERS IN BIG IT, MANAGED INVESTING:**
  - **SEOUL:** Self, Ego, Opaque, Unaccountable, Limit
    - Money, power, self first
    - Think smarter than everyone else
      - Opaque, unaccountable
      - Razzle dazzle, blow past details
    - The weaker sisters scream the loudest
    - Parasites
    - Trying to sell picks and shovels to miners
    - Preys on lazy, too busy / distracted to work problem, OUR INHERENT DESIRE FOR QUICK, EASY FIX
    - **ACTIVE FUND MANAGERS**
      - COMPETITORS, FIDELITY, NEVER FULLY COMMITTED TO INDEXED FUNDS because of the lure of big fees
      - A DECADE OF FRICTION WITH VANGUARD SINCE HE LEFT is probably due to the same lure of big fees
- **MADE INVESTING TRANSPARENT AND ACCOUNTABLE**
- **MAKES NO ATTEMPT TO FORECAST** beyond "poor returns for next decade AND risk is extremely high right now"
- **REQUIRES AN ACT OF TRUST** in right people, doing right things
- **MESSAGE IS HARD WORK, DISCIPLINE**
  - "No magic bullet. You just have to save more"
- **LEADERSHIP IS OVERRATED**, including who you know
  - Big Software Equivalent: Genius, brilliance, visiting fireman, big gain from new tech is OVERRATED
- **HUMBLE BEGINNINGS, EARLY FAILURES, NOT SUPER WEALTHY**
- "Pray, Pray, Pray"
Jack Bogle is ready to declare victory. Four decades ago, a mutual-fund industry graybeard warned him that he would "destroy the industry." Mr. Bogle's plan was to create a new mutual-fund company owned not by the founding entrepreneur and his partners but by the shareholders of the funds themselves.

This would keep overhead low for investors, as would a second part of his plan: an index fund that would mimic the performance of the overall stock market rather than pay genius managers to guess which stocks might go up or down.

Thus was born the Vanguard 500 Index Fund, which this week celebrated its 40th birthday. Vanguard holds, on behalf of its 20 million investor-clients, more than $3 trillion in mostly passively managed, index-style investments. Not even Warren Buffett has minted more millionaires than Jack Bogle has—and he did so not by helping them get lucky, but by teaching them how to earn the market's long-run, average return without paying big fees to Wall Street.

Vanguard's founder on 40 years of indexing success and how to invest in today's 'extremely risky world.'

At age 87, Mr. Bogle is marking his 65th year as an industry leader. His relationship with the Vanguard colossus he created has rewarmed after a period of hard feelings. And that prediction of four decades ago is coming true. The mutual-fund industry is slowly liquidating itself—except for Vanguard. Mr. Bogle happily supplies the numbers: During the 12 months that ended May 31, "the fund industry took in $87 billion... of which $224 billion came into Vanguard." In other words, "in the aggregate, our competitors experienced capital outflows of $137 billion."

But with the climate reality getting bad, I'm not some statue out there. When I get knots in my stomach, I say to myself, "Reread your books," he says. Mr. Bogle has written numerous advice books on investing, including 2007's "The Little Book of Common Sense Investing," which remains a perennial Amazon best seller—and all of them emphasize not trying to outguess the markets.

That said, Mr. Bogle finds today's stock scene puzzling. Shares are highly priced in historical terms; earnings and economic growth he expects to disappoint for at least the next decade (he sees no point in trying to forecast further). And yet he advises investors to stay invested and weather the storm: "If we're going to have lower returns, well, the worst thing you can do is reach for more yield. You just have to save more."

Mr. Bogle relies on a forecasting model he published 25 years ago, which tells him that investors over the next decade, thanks largely to a reversion to the mean in valuations, will be lucky to clear 2% annually after costs. Yuck.

Then why invest at all? Maybe it would be better to sell and stick the cash in a bank or a mattress. "I know of no better way to guarantee you'll have nothing at the end of the trail," he responds. "So we know we have to invest. And there's no better way to invest than a diversified list of stocks and bonds at very low cost."
Mr. Bogle's own portfolio consists of 50% stocks and 50% bonds, the latter tilted toward short- and medium-term. Keep an eagle eye on costs, he says, in a world where pre-cost returns may be as low as 3% or 4%. Inattentive investors can expect to lose as much as 70% of their profits to 'hidden' fund management costs in addition to the "expense ratios" touted in mutual-fund prospectuses. (These hidden costs include things like sales load, transaction costs, idle cash and inefficient taxes.)

Mr. Bogle, to the surprise of many, is not fantastically wealthy. Vanguard was born as a "mutual-fund company, with the management company owned by the funds' investors. So there was never any billion-dollar payday from a public stock offering of the sort enjoyed by Fidelity's founding Johnson family.

He also knows the heartache of having just about everything he has saved tied up in volatile, sometimes irrational markets, especially now. "We're in a difficult place," he says, "We live in an extremely risky world—probably more risky than I can recall."

Confidence is especially hard to come by given the antics of central banks, which many say is the only reason for today's relatively good stock market performance. Nor does he like his options in the U.S. presidential election: "My friends, they want to vote for Hillary, a lot of them, I don't want to but I'm going to."

Investing, he says, always is "an act of trust—in the ability of civilization and the U.S. to continue to flourish; in the ability of corporations to continue, through efficiency and entrepreneurship and innovation, to provide substantial returns." But nothing, not even American greatness, is guaranteed, he adds.

If an investor wants to put 5% of his portfolio into gold as a hedge against inflation or political chaos—surprise—Mr. Bogle doesn't have a problem with that. He also emphasizes his long-held but contrarian wisdom that it's unnecessary for U.S. investors to invest outside the U.S. Domestic listed companies already get a high percentage of their sales and profits abroad. He adds, "When you invest overseas, you get into currency changes, you get into governments that are much less stable than this one at the moment."

The last bit is said with a chuckle, a reference to what he considers the disturbing wild card of a potential President Trump.

Still, he adds: "I'd rather stick with the risks that I think I understand."

Mr. Bogle suspects he's probably the longest-surviving heart transplant recipient who received his heart after age 65. Back in 1996, his doctor told him the operation would be a simple one: "cut, cut, cut, sew, sew, sew." "You forgot to mention, pray, pray, pray," Mr. Bogle responded.

He knows nothing of the donor but happened once to be sharing a stage with a liver recipient who got his organ in Atlantic City the same day Mr. Bogle received his heart in Philadelphia—and who had been confidentially informed that his nameless benefactor was a 26-year-old male.

The transplant rejuvenated what had been a very sick, debilitated mutual-fund chief, but Vanguard's board and Mr. Bogle's anointed successor decided nonetheless to enforce the company's mandatory retirement age of 70. Mr. Bogle professes not to understand the hard feelings that persisted for a decade, but relations are much warmer now. He's feted on the company's suburban Philadelphia campus. Teams of Vanguard workers seek him out for briefings.

Mr. Bogle tells me a couple of senior managers have been detailed to hear out any ideas or criticisms he cares to offer.

Mr. Bogle is no idolater of the management art, not even his own: "I think a lot about leadership and I've come to the conclusion, by and large, it's overrated, and that includes you know who."

Companies operate mostly on "momentum," he says, plus dedication of the rank and file. Vanguard has thrived on the low-cost momentum it imparted 40 years ago. But Mr. Bogle points out that he pursued the idea only after authoring a disastrous merger that nearly brought down Vanguard's predecessor company. "My incentive in starting Vanguard, I'm very blunt about this, it was my means of preserving my career. That's a very selfish thing," he says.

But he's also equally realistic on the bad merger decision, which involved bringing in some go-go managers from Boston to run the then-illustrious but dowdy Wellington Fund during the 1960s stock boom. "The reality of life is, if you have a bagel shop and everybody is pouring into the doughnut shop across the street, if you want to stay in business, you start selling doughnuts. So I did."

Wall Street continues to live partly off doughnuts, of course. Hence the outcry against indexing from Mr. Ackman and Sanford Bernstein. One complaint is that passive, index-style investing distorts stock prices and the allocation of capital across the economy.

Mr. Bogle laughs this off. Suppose half of all investor money went into index funds—today it's less than a quarter—it would mean only that half the money theoretically available for trading would not be trading. So what? Prices would still be made, second-by-second, by active investors chasing the latest bit of information or analysis, as accurately or inaccurately as ever.

Yes, says Mr. Bogle, an absurdity would be a market that consisted 100% of indexing. "It would be chaos. There would be no current valuations." But it would never happen, not least because smart big-money investors—and Mr. Bogle believes there are some: he names Cliff Asness of AQR Capital Management—would quickly pounce on any opportunity created by mispricing of valuable securities.

Nonetheless, the growth of indexing is obviously unwelcome writing on the wall for Wall Street professionals and Vanguard's profit-making competitors like Fidelity, which have never been able to give heart and soul to low-churn indexing because indexing doesn't generate large fees for executives and shareholders of management companies.

"The active management business will still be around but it will shrink," Mr. Bogle predicts. "In five years, Fidelity will be sold." He adds: "I could characterize the [potential buyer] but I don't think that would be good for my public image."

From our earlier conversation, it's clear he means what he calls the financial buccaneer type, an entrepreneur more interested in milking what's left of the active-management-fee gravy train than in providing low-cost competition for Vanguard—which means Vanguard's best days as guardian of America's nest egg may still lie ahead.

Mr. Jenkins writes the Journal's Business World column.